1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 6 IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS No. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 7 FIRST AMENDED SHORT FORM This document applies to: Bruce Alsaker v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR 8 INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS AND DEMAND Case No. 2:18-cv-03750 FOR JURY TRIAL 9 10 Plaintiff(s) named below, for their First Amended Complaint against Defendants 11 named below, incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference 12 (Doc. 364). Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows: 13 1. Plaintiff/Deceased Party: 14 Bruce Alsaker 15 Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of 2. 16 consortium claim: 17 N/A 18 Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, 3. 19 conservator): 20 N/A 21 Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence 4. 22 at the time of implant: 23 California 24 Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence 5. 25 at the time of injury: 26 California 27 28

## 

1	6.	Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:				
2		California				
3	7.	District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct				
4		filing:				
5		Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division				
6	8.	Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):				
7		C.R. Bard Inc.				
8		Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.				
9	9.	Basis of Jurisdiction:				
10		□ Diversity of Citizenship				
11		Other:				
12		a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master				
13		Complaint:				
14						
15						
16						
17	10.	Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a				
18		claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):				
19		Recovery® Vena Cava Filter				
20		☐ G2® Vena Cava Filter				
21		G2® Express Vena Cava Filter				
22		G2® X Vena Cava Filter				
23		Eclipse® Vena Cava Filter				
24		Meridian® Vena Cava Filter				
25		☐ Denali <sup>®</sup> Vena Cava Filter				
26		Other:				
27	11.	Date of Implantation as to each product:				
28		On or about 7/11/2011 May 4, 2012				

1	12. Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):				
2			Count I:	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect	
3		$\boxtimes$	Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure	
4			to Warn)		
5		$\boxtimes$	Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect	
6		$\boxtimes$	Count IV:	Negligence – Design	
7			Count V:	Negligence – Manufacture	
8			Count VI:	Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit	
9			Count VII:	Negligence – Failure to Warn	
10		$\boxtimes$	Count VIII:	Negligent Misrepresentation	
11		$\boxtimes$	Count IX:	Negligence Per Se	
12		$\boxtimes$	Count X:	Breach of Express Warranty	
13		$\boxtimes$	Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty	
14		$\boxtimes$	Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation	
15			Count XIII:	Fraudulent Concealment	
16			Count XIV:	Violations of Applicable California Law Prohibiting	
17			Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices		
18			Count XV:	Loss of Consortium	
19			Count XVI:	Wrongful Death	
20			Count XVII:	Survival	
21		$\boxtimes$	Punitive Damages		
22		$\boxtimes$	Other(s): Discovery rule and equitable tolling: (please state the facts		
23			supporting this Count in the space immediately below)		
24			Despite diligent investigation by the Plaintiff, he could not have		
25			discovered the nature of his injuries and damages and relation to the		
26		product at issue until within the applicable statute of limitations for			
27			Plaintiff's cla	aims.	
28					